# Project 5: Aerial Video Processing in Support of Disaster Response



ARY OF THE

TEAM MEMBERS: BRIAN JONES MICHAEL GIBB, 1STLT, USAF MINGMING LEI MINH TRUONG

## Overview

- Problem Statement
- Bottom Line Up Front
- Background
- Requirements
- High-Level System
- Operational Scenarios
- Recommendations
- Future Work
- Summary

## **Problem Statement**

- The U.S. Air Force lacks capability to quickly process large quantities of video in support of disaster relief
- Current solution is to have UAV fly over terrain following disaster to gather up to 12 hours of video
- Human analysts watch this video continuously for the duration of video in effort to identify anomalies and/or areas of interest

## • Current solution:

o "...death by video" ~ Ms. Biernesser

• Big Data Problem!!!

## Bottom Line Up Front

- Literature review
- Evaluation of 3 types of imagery analysis algorithms
- Conceptual system design
- Feasibility study
- Conclusion
  - Existing technology has not advanced to the level required to meet performance metrics for the functional specifications desired by sponsor

# Scope

- Project will focus on developing the high order conceptual design of software that can process 12 hours of video for damage detection
  - "Tell me **how** this could be done" ~Ms. Biernesser
  - "The Air Force has been flying around the forest of this problem and keeps getting smacked in the face with branches" ~Ms. Biernesser
- Project will only concern deriving the feasibility of imagery analysis algorithms and software design
   No hardware solutions

## Approach

#### • Met with sponsor

- Defined problem and scope
- Developed high-level requirements
- Identified system restrictions & constraints
- Literature research
- Separated system into 4 stages
- Identified project risks and mitigation strategies
- Sponsor approved deliverables
  - Conceptual System Design
  - Feasibility Study





![](_page_8_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Mitigatable Disasters

- **Definition:** Can be forecasted with a certain amount of accuracy before they occur and action can be taken
- **Types:** Hurricanes, Monsoons, Floods, Snowstorm, Human-inflicted
- This means there's warning to collect priorimagery

## **Canny Edge Detection Algorithm**

- Developed as a means to detect edge lines and gradients for image processing
- Developed in 1986 and still considered state-of-theart

#### Main stages

- Noise reduction through gaussian filtering
- Determining gradients of images
- Relate edge gradients
- Trace valid edges
- Hysteresis thresholding

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Canny Edge Detection Algorithm

#### • Pros

- o Can run in real time
- Proven and tested approach
- Works with color or monochromatic video

#### • Cons

- Requires tweaking of parameters to get right
- Requires large amount of processing power
- Needs previous image for comparison

## **Un-mitigatable Disasters**

- Definition: Cannot be forecasted with accuracy before they occur
- Types: Earthquakes, Wildfires, Drought, Tsunami, Tornadoes, Sinkholes
- <u>No warning: cannot collect prior-imagery</u>

## **Boundary Tracking & Segmentation**

- Segmentation is one of the most important problems in image processing
  - Partitioning an image into a small number of homogenous regions
  - Highlights important features to analyze
- Applications in geospatial target detection
- Region based vs Edge based
  - Region methods: Mumford-Shah & Chan-Vese
  - Edge methods: Image snakes

## **Boundary Tracking & Segmentation**

#### Learn parameters

• Update mean and variance of each region as new points are sampled

• Sampling Gaussian noise

![](_page_16_Figure_4.jpeg)

## Boundary Tracking & Segmentation

#### • Pros

- Allows for accurate boundary tracking even in highly noisy images
- Can be used in conjunction with other types of algorithms
- Do not need prior knowledge for image detection

#### • Con

• For high-resolution images, computational times can be long, which can be overcome by doing sub-sampling

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Genetic Algorithm

#### • Pros:

#### o Flexible

- Requires no prior imagery
- Cons:

#### • Extremely dependent on object definition and characteristics

## **Algorithm Summary**

### Canny Algorithm

- o Can run real-time
- Requires large amounts of hardware and processing power in order to do so

#### Boundary Detection

- Can run in real-time however accuracy is 0.62 on f-scale (precision recall)
- Humans are 0.79

## Genetic Algorithm

• Takes 5-10 seconds per frame - would take 150-300x time of human

## Recommendations

• Keep human analysts until technology and cost reach desired levels

• Processing time and accuracy still too slow to compete with speed of humans

• No single algorithm is good for all situations.

**Currently best used as a System Support Tool** 

## Summary

- Four key components to processing video: input, processing, meta-data pairing, and alerting
- Mitigatable disasters and unmitigatible disasters
- Recommendation: Keep using current process for videos
- Largest problem: humans are still fastest at processing video while maintaining accuracy
- Future work should focus on processing architectures and hardware

## Future Work

- USAF needs to invest in feasibility study of their own to determine if it meets their mission requirements
- Extend further research into the specific algorithms described in CONOPS
- Research algorithms that can identify non-areasof-interest

# Research hardware design Hardware capability Parallel hardware

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

## References

- Uprenda P Poudel, Gongkang Fu, Kian Ye, Structural Damage Detection Using Digital Video Imaging and Wavelet Transformation, Center for Advanced Bridge Engineering, Civil, and Environmental Engineering Department, Wayne State University
- Daozheng Chen, Mustafa Bilgic, Lise Getoor, David Jacobs, Dynamic Processing Allocation in Video, IEEE Transactions On Pattern Analysis And Machine Intelligence, Vol 33, No 11, Novinger 2011
- Harold Trease, Daniel Fraser, Rob Farber, Stephen Elbert, Using Transaction Based Parallel Computing to Solve Image Processing and Computational Physics Problems, <u>www.ccao8.org</u>
- Motion Imagery Standards Board, Inserting Time Stamps and Metadata in High Definition Uncompressed Video, MISB STD 0605.3, June 9<sup>th</sup> 2011
- Motion Imagery Standards Board, Compression for Infrared Motion Imagery, MISB Standard 0404, December 3<sup>rd</sup> 2009
- Motion Imagery Standards Board, MISB Profile for Aerial Surveillance and Photogrammetry Applications (ASPA), MISB Standard 0301.5 Version 1.5, July 26<sup>th</sup> 2010
- Ryan Hruska, Greg Lancaster, Jerry Harbour, Shane Cherry, Small UAV-Acquired High Resolution Georeferenced Still Imagery, Idaho National Laboratory, September 2005
- N. Voisine, S. Dasiopoulou, V. Mezaris, E. Spyroum T. Athanasiadis, I. Kompatsiaris, Y. Avrithis, M. G. Strintzis, Knowledge Assisted Video Analysis Using A Genetic Algorithm, Information Processing Laboratory, Electrical and Computer Engineering Department, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece.
- Yuko Roodt, Willem Visser, Willem Clarke, Image Processing on the GPU: Implementing the Canny Edge Detection Algorithm, University of Johannesburg, <u>http://www.prasa.org/proceedings/2007/prasa07-26.pdf</u>
- Ozisik, D. *Post-earthquake Damage Assessment Using Satellite and Aerial Video Imagery*. Enschede, The Netherlands: International Institute for Geo-information Science and Earth Observation, 2004.
- Kim, K., Chalidabhongse, T., Harwood, D., & Davis, L. (2005). *Real-Time Imaging*. Retrieved from SciVerse ScienceDirect: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1077201405000057
- Voisine, N., Dasiopoulou, S., Mezaris, V., Spyrou, E., Athanasiadis, T., Kompatsiaris, I., ... & Strintzis, M. G. (2005, April). Knowledge-assisted video analysis using a genetic algorithm. In *Proc. of 6th International Workshop on Image Analysis for Multimedia Interactive Services (WIAMIS 2005)*.

Genetic Algorithm  

$$Fitness(G) = \sum_{g_i} I_M(g_i) + \sum_k \sum_{\substack{(g_i, g_j), g_i \in R_k g_j}} I_{R_k}(g_i, g_j)$$

- Optimal solution maximizes fitness equation
- G is the gene of interest
- *I<sub>M</sub>(g<sub>i</sub>)* is the estimation function of gene *g<sub>i</sub>* regarding low-level visual similarity
  - Similarity between the region of interest and an object that's been described
- *I<sub>Rk</sub>* (*g<sub>i</sub>*, *g<sub>j</sub>*) is the estimation function of spatial similarity between *g<sub>i</sub>* and *g<sub>j</sub>* in terms of *R<sub>k</sub>* O Describes how well a relation holds between two regions

## Dynamic Processing Allocation (DPA)

- A method for controlling processing to manage computing resources.
- Find a balance between SPEED and ACCURACY.
- Employs both "cheap" and "expensive" processing algorithm.
  - Cheap = less accurate, faster
  - Expensive = >90% accurate, slower; may be human analyst

## DPA - How

- Employ second-order Markov model with node and state variable for each frame
- Similar to Hidden Markov Model, the observation of each frame depends on the previous
- Cheap algorithm
  - Background subtraction
- Expensive algorithm
  - Face detection
  - Moving object
- Run cheap algorithm on every frame
- Run expensive algorithm on consecutive uniform intervals of frame

DPA PLANS WHERE TO EMPLOY THE MOST PROCESSING RESOURCES -DIRECTS RESOURCES TO THE MOST RELEVANT PART OF THE VIDEO-

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

## **DPA - Background Subtraction**

 Detection results on a compressed video: (a) original image, (b) standard deviations, (c) unimodal model , (d) MOG, (e) Kernel, (f) CB

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ S1077201405000057

## **DPA - Examples of Face Detection**

- Top-down knowledge-based method determines relationship between structural features such as eyes, nose, and mouth.
- Bottom-up feature-based method seek invariant structural features such as eyebrows, hair texture, and skin color.
- Template-based method compare faces with input image.
- Appearance-based method use statistical analysis and machine learning techniques.

## DPA - Pros & Cons

#### • Pros

- Employs multiple algorithms the best of both
- Balance between accuracy vs speed
- Can be applied to videos with large number of frames
- Can set limit to processing resources

## • Cons

- Employs multiple algorithms complicates logic
- Not as fast as some algorithms
- Not as accurate as some algorithms
- Not for simultaneous capture and processing

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

## High-Level Project Risk

Risk	Mitigation Strategy	Likelihood	Impact
Short duration of the performance period in the event the schedule slips	Redefine scope as needed to account for period of performance	Low	Medium
Availability of sponsor for feedback on deliverables	Utilize both sponsors to review deliverables	Low	Low
Availability of desired software packages for developing deliverables	Identify additional software with similar capabilities that are more readily available as well as utilize SEOR labs if available and loaded with desired software	Low	Low
Availability of SMEs to provide information on	Work early with Sponsor to leverage any contacts that are available; identify SMEs needed early on	Medium	Medium

# Schedule

Task Name	✓ Start		22 25 28 31 3 6 9 12 15 18 21 24 27 2 5 8 11 14 17 20 23 26 29 1 4 7 10 13 16 19 22 25 28 1 4 7
1 4 1 Complete SYST699 Project	Mon 1/28/	13 Fri 5/10/13	
2 4 1.1 Write Proposal	Fri 2/1/13	Mon 2/25/13	
3 1.1.1 Meet With sponsor	Fri 2/1/13	Fri 2/1/13	◆ 2/1
4 1.1.2 Gather Requirement	nts Fri 2/1/13	Tue 2/12/13	
5 1.1.3 Define Project Scop	De Fri 2/1/13	Fri 2/15/13	
6 1.1.4 Study Current Oper	rations Fri 2/1/13	Fri 2/1/13	<b>H</b>
7 1.1.5 Define Necessary D	Deliverables Fri 2/1/13	Fri 2/15/13	
8 1.1.6 Complete Team Me	eetings Mon 2/4/1	3 Tue 2/19/13	
9 1.1.7 Define Stakeholde	rs Fri 2/1/13	Fri 2/15/13	
10 1.1.8 Review with Spons	or Thu 2/21/1	3 Mon 2/25/13	
11 4 1.2 Create Proposal Presen	tation Mon 2/4/1	3 Mon 2/18/13	
12 1.2.1 Create Slides	Thu 2/14/1	3 Sun 2/17/13	n min,
13 1.2.2 Complete Team Me	eeting Sun 2/17/1	3 Sun 2/17/13	10
14 1.2.3 Rehearse Presenta	tion Sun 2/17/1	3 Mon 2/18/13	inde
15 4 1.3 Complete CONOPS	Mon 2/4/1	3 Sat 3/23/13	
16 1.3.1 Brainstorm New M	ethods of Operation Mon 2/4/1	3 Thu 2/14/13	
17 1.3.2 Define Operationa	Scenarios Fri 2/15/13	Fri 3/1/13	
1.3.3 Define Architecture	es Mon 3/4/1	Wed 3/13/13	
19 1.3.4 Develop CONOP ill	ustrations Thu 3/14/1	3 Tue 3/19/13	the second se
20 1.3.5 Sponsor Review	Wed 3/20/	13 Sat 3/23/13	
4 1.4 Complete AoA	Sun 3/17/1	3 Tue 4/30/13	
22 1.4.1 Research design alt	ternatives Sun 3/17/1	3 Tue 3/26/13	
1.4.2 Develop System Lo	gic For All Options Wed 3/27/	13 Thu 4/4/13	
24 1.4.3 Risk Analysis	Fri 4/12/13	Mon 4/15/13	
25 1.4.4 Effectiveness Analy	ysis Tue 4/16/1	3 Wed 4/24/13	
26 1.4.5 Lessons Learned	Thu 4/25/1	3 Tue 4/30/13	
27 1.4.6 Sponsor Review			
28 4 1.5 Interim Progress Report	t (IPR) Mon 3/11/	13 Mon 3/18/13	
29 1.5.1 Create Slides	Mon 3/11/	L3 Fri 3/15/13	
30 1.5.2 Rehearse Presenta	tion Sat 3/16/1	Sat 3/16/13	
31 1.5.3 Give Presentation	Mon 3/18/	L3 Mon 3/18/13	\$ 3/18
32 1.5.4 Meeting with Instru	uctor		
33 4 1.6 Create Website	Sun 2/17/1	3 Fri 5/10/13	
34 1.6.1 Write Code	Sun 2/17/1	3 Mon 2/18/13	
35 1.6.2 Add Team Bio Infor	mation Tue 2/19/1	3 Tue 2/19/13	n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n
36 1.6.3 Add Periodic Updat	tes Tue 2/19/1	3 Thu 5/9/13	
37 4 1.7 Prepare Final Report	Mon 4/8/1	3 Fri 5/10/13	
38 1.7.1 Write Report	Mon 4/8/1	3 Sun 5/5/13	
39 1.7.2 Prepare Final Prese	entation Slides Wed 4/17/	13 Sun 4/28/13	
40 1.7.3 Rehearse Presenta	tion Mon 4/29/	L3 Mon 5/6/13	production of the second se
41 1.7.4 Give Presentation	Fri 5/10/13	Fri 5/10/13	
42 1.7.5 Sponsor Review	Mon 4/29/	13 Thu 5/2/13	