

APA citation:

Lane, K. L., Harris, K. R., Graham, S., Weisenbach, J. L., Brindle, M., & Morphy, P. (2008). The effects of self-regulated strategy development on the writing performance of second-grade students with behavioral and writing difficulties. *Journal of Special Education*, 41(4), 234-253.

Date 10-6-08 Coder SK Reliability Checker Initials \_\_\_\_\_

Number of conditions (if experimental) \_\_\_1\_\_\_\_\_

Comparisons for Effect Size
1. Single Subject Design
2.

Write a direct quote of the author's definition and/or description of the intervention(s). Mark on the article the location of the quote and include the page #s on this coding sheet.

**INTRODUCTION**

	ES	ES	ES	ES	ES	ES
1 ID Study ID Writing- 1						
2 ESNUM Effect size num						
3 YR year last 2 digits 08						
4 SOURCE use meta list- <i>Journal of Special Education</i>						
5 COMPTYPE type of comparison 1=exp vs control (no treatment) 2=A vs B 3=pre-post 4=crossover 5=N=1 ABAB 6=N=1 multiple baseline 7=N=1 other variation 8=case study 9=qualitative 10=descriptive 11=other specify	6=N=1  Single Subject Design					

**SAMPLE DESCRIPTION**

	ES	ES	ES	ES	ES	ES
6 NTOTAL sample size	6					
7 NEXP sample size						
8 NCONTROL sample size						
9 TMALE N male	5					
9.5 TFEMALE N female	1					
10 TWHITE N white	4					
11 TBLACK N black	2					
12 TASIANT N asian						

13 THISPAN N hispan						
14 TETHNIC N other						
15 TAGE <u>M</u> age/mo	95					
16 TIQ <u>M</u> IQ rounded	92.67					
17 TREADSS <u>M</u> writing SS TOWL-3	16.92					
18 TREADE% <u>M</u> read %tile						
19 TREADGE <u>M</u> read gr eq						
20 TMATHSS <u>M</u> math SS						
21 TMATH% <u>M</u> math %tile						
22 TMATHGE <u>M</u> math gr eq						
23 Mean age	7.95 years					
24 Mean grade level	2					
25 Grade level 1-elem, 2 middle/jr, 3 high, 4 mixed	1					
26 HANDE Primary Disability 1=LD 2=MiMh 3=MoMh 4=EH 5=SD 6=VI 7=HI 8=Mixed specify 9=MR can't tell 10=Autistic 11=multiple disabilities 12=physical disabilities 13=non-disabled	4					
30 SES Total sample Socioeconomic status 1=low 2=medium 3=high 4=mixed 5=unspecified	5					
31 POP Total population density 1=urban 2=suburban 3=rural 4=metropolitan 5=mixed 6=unknown	3					
32 GEOG Total geographic region of the country 1=Northeast 2=Southeast 3=Midwest 4=Southwest 5=West 6=Other-Specify	2					

<b>INTERVENTION</b>						
33 SETTINGE setting experimental 1=reg ed class 2=sp ed class 3=room in school 4=clinic 5=institution 6=hospital 7=community 8=other specify	8 quiet space in school outside a classroom					
34 SETTINGC setting control (use above codes)						
35 TEACHE intervenor experimental 1=sp ed teacher 2=regular ed teacher 3=researcher/assistant 4=parent 5=aide 6=peer 7=other specify	7-Trained Graduate student majoring in Education					
36 TEACHC intervenor control (use above codes)						
37 NSESSE number of sessions experimental	10-15					
38 NSESSC number of sessions control						
39 MINSESE minutes per session experimental	30					
40 MINSESC minutes per session control						
41 GROUPE delivery group size experimental 1=individually 2= small group (2-8) 3=large group (9-15) 4=whole class 5=other specify	1					
42 GROUPC delivery group size control (use above codes)						

**INTERVENTION DESCRIPTION**

	ES	ES	ES	ES	ES	ES
47 INTDECE intervention description experimental 1= test taking strategies 2= other	2 Writing					
48 INTDECC intervention description control (use above codes) plus 99= no treatment control						

**DEPENDENT MEASURES**

	ES	ES	ES	ES	ES	ES
49 DEPEN dependent variables 1=Criterion Referenced Test 2=Norm Referenced Test (Standardized) 3=anecdotal 4=none (qualitative) 5=both CRT and NRT 6=other, specify	1					
52 Type of Dependent Measure 1=content area/achievement	1					

2=social skills 3=attitude 4=on-task behavior 5=motivation, affective 6=strategy use						
53 MAIN maintenance assessed 1= yes 2=no	1					
54 DAYMAIN duration of maintenance (days)	?1-11 weeks					
55 GEN generalization assessed 1=yes 2=no	2?					
56 GENTYPE type of generalization 1=content 2=settings 3=skills & settings 4=other	4- Social skills?					
57 DTIME delayed time this outcome was assessed after training (# of days);write number of days after training, count immediate assessment as 0 days, 1 week would =7 days	?					
58 Type of Effect 1= treatment 2=maintenance 3=generalization	2					

**DESIGN AND REPORTING**

	ES	ES	ES	ES	ES	ES
59 TYPE 1= random assignment of students 2=non random, relevant matching 3=convenience 4=pre-post, no control 5=pre-post, adjusted means 6=random assignment of classes or teachers	4					
60 BLIND data collectors blind 1= yes 2= no 3= not specified	3					
61 VALIDITY 1=high/ random assignment of students to condition 2= medium/ 2 or more classes assigned to each treatment 3= low/ 1 class per condition or pre-post	3					
62 AUTHOR author name Lane only write first author's last name						
63 FINDINGS describe author's conclusions 1=statistically sig 2=mixed 3=NSD 4=positive (no data to code, but reports pos)	4					
64 EFFECT effect size PND for codeable ES studies only	100	100	100	100	100	