

## Short Presentation EDRS 823

<b>Name</b> Susan	<b>Date</b> November 3, 2009
<b>Topic # 2 VISUAL ANALYSIS</b>	<b>Points earned: 7</b>
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Setting (.5)</li> <li>2. Participants (.5)</li> <li>3. Methodology (1)</li> <li>4. Results (1)</li> <li>5. Description of how researchers conducted/completed the assigned topic (1)</li> <li>6. Your commentary about the assigned topic, to include what you identify as strengths (what the researchers did well), limitations (suggestions for improvements), personal conclusions, and why the topic is important (3)</li> </ol>	

UNSATISFACTORY	MINIMAL	GOOD	OUTSTANDING
<p>Student presents information in a vague, imprecise, and/or confusing sequence. Much of the content is inaccurate. Student has difficulty explaining content and accurately responding to questions about the content. Presentation accurately includes four or less of the requirements.</p> <p>Up to <b>3.9 pt</b></p>	<p>Student presents half of the information in a clear, accurate, and logical manner. Sequence is somewhat confusing. About half of the content is inaccurate and/or unclear. Student can accurately explain most content but has difficulty going beyond pre-written content and accurately responding to questions about the content. Presentation accurately includes five of the requirements.</p> <p>Up to <b>4 pts</b></p>	<p>Student presents most information in a clear, accurate, and logical sequence. Most of the content is accurate and clear. Student is at ease with accurately explaining content, and can elaborate for basic questions. Presentation accurately includes six of the requirements.</p> <p>Up to <b>5.5 pts</b></p>	<p>Student presents all information in a clear, accurate, and logical sequence. Student is clearly at ease with presenting to an audience, and responds to questions with clear and accurate explanations and elaboration. Presentation accurately includes comprehensive content on all six of the requirements.</p> <p>Up to <b>7 pts</b></p>

**NOTES:**

**Hi Susan,**

**Wow – you did a terrific job on this Short Presentation! You clearly focus on the TOPIC, you provide descriptions of what the researchers did well, and you note specific areas where they could improve directly related to the TOPIC and the readings for that week. Your use of technical terms is excellent. Keep it up!**

**You sound more confident about a lot of the SSRD content; hope you’re feeling that confidence, too!**

**Peggy**