## ECE297:11 Lecture 12 <br> RSA - Genesis, operation \& security
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| Professional (NSA) vs. amateur (academic) approach to designing ciphers |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Know how to break Russian ciphers | 1. Know nothing about cryptology |
| 2. Use only well-established proven methods | 2. Think of revolutionary ideas |
| 3. Hire 50,000 mathematicians | 3. Go for skiing |
| 4. Cooperate with an industry giant | 4. Publish in "Scientific American" |
| 5. Keep as much as possible secret | 5. Offer a $\$ 100$ award for breaking the cipher |
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Challenge published in Scientific American

Ciphertext:

96869613754622061477140922254355
88290575999112457431987469512093
08162982251457083569314766228839
89628013391990551829945157815145
$\qquad$
ublic key:
$\mathrm{N}=11438162575788886766923577997614$ 661201021829672124236256256184293 570693524573389783059712356395870 5058989075147599290026879543541
$e=9007$
(129 decimal digits)

## RSA as a trap-door one-way function
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## PUBLIC KEY

PRIVATE KEY
$\{e, N\} \underset{\longrightarrow}{\longleftrightarrow}\{d, P, Q\}$

$$
\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{P} \cdot \mathrm{Q} \quad \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q}-\text { large prime numbers }
$$

$\mathrm{e} \cdot \mathrm{d} \equiv 1 \bmod ((\mathrm{P}-1)(\mathrm{Q}-1))$
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Euler's totient (phi) function (1)
$\varphi(\mathbf{N})$ - number of integers in the range from 1 to $\mathbf{N}-1$
that are relatively prime with $\mathbf{N}$ $\qquad$
Special cases:

1. P is prime

$$
\varphi(\mathrm{P})=\mathrm{P}-1
$$

Relatively prime with $\mathrm{P}: \quad 1,2,3, \ldots, \mathrm{P}-1$
2. $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{P} \cdot \mathrm{Q} \quad \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q}$ are prime $\qquad$
$\varphi(\mathrm{N})=(\mathrm{P}-1) \cdot(\mathrm{Q}-1)$
Relatively prime with $\mathrm{N}:\{1,2,3, \ldots, \mathrm{P} \cdot \mathrm{Q}-1\}-\{\mathrm{P}, 2 \mathrm{P}, 3 \mathrm{P}, \ldots,(\mathrm{Q}-1) \mathrm{P}\}$ $-\{\mathrm{Q}, 2 \mathrm{Q}, 3 \mathrm{Q}, \ldots,(\mathrm{P}-1) \mathrm{Q}$
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## Euler's totient (phi) function (2)

Special cases:
3. $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{P}^{2} \quad \mathrm{P}$ is prime

$$
\varphi(\mathrm{N})=\mathrm{P} \cdot(\mathrm{P}-1)
$$

Relatively prime with $\mathrm{N}:\left\{1,2,3, \ldots, \mathrm{P}^{2}-1\right\}-\{\mathrm{P}, 2 \mathrm{P}, 3 \mathrm{P}, \ldots,(\mathrm{P}-1) \mathrm{P}\}$

## In general

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { If } \begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{P}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{el}} \cdot \mathrm{P}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{e} 2} \cdot \mathrm{P}_{3}^{\mathrm{e3} 3} \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{et}} \\
\varphi(\mathrm{~N})=\prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{ei-1}-} \cdot\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}-1\right)
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$
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Euler's Theorem - Justification (1)
For $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{1 0}$

$R=\{1,3,7,9\}$
Let $\mathrm{a}=3$

$\mathrm{~S}=\{3 \cdot 1 \bmod 10$,
$3 \cdot 3 \bmod 10,3 \cdot 7 \bmod 10$,
$3 \cdot 9 \bmod 10\}$
$=\{3,9,1,7\}$

## For arbitrary $\mathbf{N}$

$R=\left\{\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\varphi(\mathbf{N})}\right\}$
Let us choose arbitrary a, such that $\operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{N})=1$
$S=\left\{a \cdot x_{1} \bmod N, a \cdot x_{2} \bmod N, \ldots\right.$,
$\qquad$
$\left.a \cdot x_{\varphi(N)} \bmod N\right\}$
$=$ rearranged set R $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

| Euler's Theorem - Justification (2) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| For $\mathrm{N}=10$ | For arbitrary $\mathbf{N}$ |
| $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{S}$ | $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{S}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & x_{1} \cdot x_{2} \cdot x_{3} \cdot x_{4} \equiv \\ & \left(a \cdot x_{1}\right) \cdot\left(a \cdot x_{2}\right) \cdot\left(a \cdot x_{3}\right) \cdot\left(a \cdot x_{4}\right) \bmod N \end{aligned}$ | $\prod_{i=1}^{\varphi(N)} x_{i} \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{\varphi(N)} a \cdot x_{i}(\bmod N)$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & x_{1} \cdot x_{2} \cdot x_{3} \cdot x_{4} \equiv \\ & a^{4} \cdot x_{1} \cdot x_{2} \cdot x_{3} \cdot x_{4} \bmod N \end{aligned}$ | $\prod_{i=1}^{\varphi(N)} x_{i} \equiv a^{q(N)} \prod_{i=1}^{\varphi(N)} x_{i}(\bmod N)$ |
| $\mathrm{a}^{4} \equiv 1(\bmod \mathrm{~N})$ | $\mathrm{a}^{9(N)} \equiv 1(\bmod \mathrm{~N})$ |
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Why does RSA work? (2)
$M^{\prime}=C^{d} \bmod N=\left(M^{e} \bmod N\right)^{d} \bmod N=$
$=M^{e} \cdot d \bmod N=\left|\begin{array}{l}e \cdot d \equiv 1 \bmod \varphi(N) \\ e \cdot d=1+k \cdot \varphi(N)\end{array}\right|=$
$=M^{1+k \cdot \varphi(N)} \bmod N=M \cdot\left(M^{\varphi(N)}\right)^{k} \bmod N=$
$=M \cdot\left(M^{\varphi(N)} \bmod N\right)^{k} \bmod N=$
$=M \cdot 1^{k} \bmod N=M$
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## Rivest estimation - 1977

The best known algorithm for factoring a $\qquad$ 129-digit number requires:

## 40000 trilion years $=40 \cdot 10^{15}$ years

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
assuming the use of a supercomputer

> being able to perform
$\qquad$

1 multiplication of 129 decimal digit numbers in 1 ns
Rivest's assumption translates to the delay of a single logic gate $\approx 10 \mathrm{ps}$
Estimated age of the universe: 100 bln years $=10^{11}$ years
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
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| Early records in factoring large numbers |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years | Number of <br> decimal <br> digits | Number <br> of bits | Required <br> computational <br> power <br> (in MIPS-years) |
| 1974 | 45 | 149 | 0.001 |
| 1984 | 71 | 235 | 0.1 |
| 1991 | 100 | 332 | 7 |
| 1992 | 110 | 365 | 75 |
| 1993 | 120 | 398 | 830 |

## How to factor for free?

A. Lenstra \& M. Manasse, 1989

- Using the spare time of computers, (otherwise unused)
- Program and results sent by e-mail $\qquad$
(later using WWW) $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

| Practical implementations of attacks Factorization, RSA |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Number of bits of N | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Number of } \\ \text { decimal digits } \\ \text { of } \mathbf{N} \end{array}$ | Method | Estimated amount of computations |
| 1994 | 430 | 129 | QS | 5000 MIPS-years |
| 1996 | 433 | 130 | GNFS | 750 MIPS-years |
| 1998 | 467 | 140 | GNFS | 2000 MIPS-years |
| 1999 | 467 | 140 | GNFS | 8000 MIPS-year |


| Breaking RSA-129 |
| :---: | :---: |
| When: $\quad$ August 1993-1 April 1994, $\mathbf{8}$ months |
| Who: $\quad$D. Atkins, M. Graff, A. K. Lenstra, P. Leyland <br> + 600 volunteers from the entire world |
| How:$\mathbf{1 6 0 0}$ computers <br> from Cray C90, through 16 MHz PC, <br> to fax machines |
| Only 0.03\% computational power of the Internet |
| Results of cryptanalysis: |
| "The magic words are squeamish ossifrage" |
| An award of 100 \$ donated to Free Software Foundation |


| Elements affecting the progress |
| :---: |
| in factoring large numbers |

$\bullet$ computational power
1977-1993 increase of about 1500 times
$\bullet$ computer networks
Internet
$\bullet$ better algorithms
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| Factoring methods |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| General purpose | Time of factoring is much <br> shorter if $N$ or factors of $N$ <br> are of the special form |
| Time of factoring depends <br> only on the size of $N$ | ECM - Elliptic Curve Method |
| GNFS - General Number <br> Field Sieve | Pollard's p-1 method <br> QS - Quadratic Sieve |
| Continued Fraction Method <br> (historical) | Cyclotomic polynomial method <br> SNFS - Special Number Field <br> Sieve |


| Running time of facto $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{q}}[\alpha, \mathrm{c}]=\exp ((\mathrm{c}+o(1)) \cdot(\ln$ | ng algorithms $\left.x \cdot(\ln \ln q)^{1-\alpha}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { For } \alpha=\mathbf{0} \\ & \qquad \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{q}}[0, \mathrm{c}]=(\ln \mathrm{q})^{(\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{o}(1))} \end{aligned}$ | Algorithm polynomial as a function of the number of bits of $q$ |
| For $\alpha=1$ $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{q}}[1, \mathrm{c}]=\exp ((\mathrm{c}+o(1)) \cdot(\ln \mathrm{q}))$ | Algorithm exponential as a function of the number of bits of $q$ |
| For $0<\alpha<\mathbf{1}$ | Algorithm subexponential as a function of the number of bits of $q$ |
| $f(\mathrm{n})=o(1)$ if for any positive constan $\mathrm{n}_{0}>0$, such that $0 \leq f(\mathrm{n})<$ | 0 there exist a constant all $n \geq n_{0}$ |
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|  | RSA Challenge |
| :---: | :---: |
| RSA-100 | Smallest unfactored number |
| ${ }_{\text {RSSA-110 }}^{\text {RSA } 120}$ |  |
| RSA-130 | RSA-150 |
| RSA-140 |  |
| ${ }_{\text {RSSA-150 }}^{\text {RSA } 160}$ |  |
| RSA-170 | Unused awards accumulate at a rate of $\$ 1750$ / quarter |
| RSA-180 |  |
| RSA-450 |  |
| ${ }_{\text {RSSA-460 }}^{\text {RSA } 40}$ |  |
| RSA-480 |  |
| RSA-490 |  |
| RSA-500 |  |

## Factoring 512-bit number

512 bits $=155$ decimal digits
$\qquad$ old standard for key sizes in RSA

17 March-22 August 1999
Group of Herman te Riele
Centre for Mathematics and Computer Science (CWI), Amsterdam

First stage 2 months
168 workstations SGI and Sun, 175-400 MHz
120 Pentium PC, $300-450 \mathrm{MHz}, 64 \mathrm{MB}$ RAM
4 stations Digital/Compaq, 500 MHz
Second stage
Cray C916-10 days, 2.3 GB RAM $\qquad$

## TWINKLE

"The Weizmann INstitute Key Locating Engine"
Adi Shamir, Eurocrypt, May 1999
CHES, August 1999
Electrooptical device capable to speed-up the first phase of factorization from 100 to 1000 times

If ever built it would increase the size of the key that can be broken from 100 to 200 bits

Cost of the device (assuming that the prototype was earlier built) - $\$ 5000$

## Recommended key sizes for RSA

## Old standard:

$\qquad$

Individual users
512 bits
(155 decimaldigits)
New standard:

| Individual users | (231 decimal digits) |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 0 2 4}$ bits |
| Organizations (short term) | (308 decimal digits) |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 4 8}$ bits |
| Organizations (long term) | (616 decimal digits) |

Keylengths in public key cryptosystems that provide the same level of security as AES and other secret-key ciphers

Arjen K. Lenstra, Eric R. Verheul
„Selecting Cryptographic Key Sizes"
Journal of Cryptology

Arjen K. Lenstra
„Unbelievable Security: Matching AES Security
Using Public Key Systems"
ASIACRYPT' 2001

RSA vs. DES: Resistance to attack
. Number of operations in the best known attack
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## Practical progress in factorization

March 2002, Financial Cryptography Conference
Nicko van Someren, CTO nCipher Inc.
announced that his company developed software
capable of breaking 512-bit RSA key within 6 weeks
using computers available in a single office

## Bernstein's Machine (1)

Fall 2001

```
Daniel Bernstein, professor of mathematics at University of Illinois in Chicago
submits a grant application to NSF
and publishes fragments of this application as an article on the web
D. Bernstein, Circuits for Integer Factorization: A Proposal
http://cr.yp.to/papers.html\#nfscircuit
```

- 
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## Bernstein's Machine (2)

## March 2002

- Bernstein's article "discovered" during

Financial Cryptography Conference

Informal panel devoted to analysis of consequences of the Bernstein's discovery

Nicko Van Someren (nCipher) estimates that machine costing \$ 1 bilion is able to break 1024-bit RSA within several minuts

## Bernstein's Machine (3)

## March 2002

- alarming voices on e-mailing discussion lists calling for revocation of all currently used 1024-bit keys
- sensational articles in newspapers about Bernstein's discovery


## Bernstein's Machine (4)

April 2002
Response of the RSA Security Inc.:
Error in the estimation presented at the conference; according to formulas from the Bernstein's article machine costing
\$ $\mathbf{1}$ billion is able to break 1024-bit RSA within
10 billion $\times$ several minuts $=\underline{\text { tens }}$ of years
According to estimations of Lenstra i Verheul, machine $\qquad$ breaking 1024-bit RSA within one day would cost \$ $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ billion in 2002

## Bernstein's Machine (5)

Carl Pomerance, Bell Labs: $\qquad$
,...fresh and fascinating idea..."

Arjen Lenstra, Citibank \& U. Eindhoven:
,...I have no idea what is this all fuss about..."
Bruce Schneier, Counterpane:
,, ... enormous improvements claimed are more a result of redefining efficiency than anything else..."

## Bernstein's Machine (6)



Computational cost $=$ time [days] * memory [\$]

| RSA Challange |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lentgh of N <br> in bits | Length of N <br> in decimal digits | Award for <br> factorization |
| 576 | 174 | $\$ 10,000$ |
| 640 | 193 | $\$ 20,000$ |
| 704 | 212 | $\$ 30,000$ |
| 768 | 232 | $\$ 50,000$ |
| 896 | 270 | $\$ 75,000$ |
| 1024 | 309 | $\$ 100,000$ |
| 1536 | 463 | $\$ 150,000$ |
| 2048 | 617 | $\$ 200,000$ |


| Estimation of RSA Security Inc. regarding <br> the number and memory of PCs <br> necessary to break RSA-1024 |
| :--- |
| Attack time: $\quad 1$ year |
| Single machine: $\quad$ PC, $500 \mathrm{MHz}, 170 \mathrm{~GB}$ RAM |
| Number of machines: $\mathbf{3 4 2 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
|  |

