1	Supervision	Liability
1	Supervision	Liability

PARTIN v.
 VERNON PARISH SCHOOL BOARD
 343 So.2d 417 (La.App. 1977)

- <sup>3</sup> P, 7, fell on stump on playground, lacerated pancreas.
- <sup>4</sup> P: negligent supervision & allowing dangerous condition on plygrd.
- <sup>5</sup> Nothing indicating D remiss in supervision; supervising play, cautioned children re stump; again cautioned P, no reason P would not comply.
- <sup>6</sup> No showing D could have prevented P's contact with stump from position near see-saws.
- <sup>7</sup> supervision be reasonable and commensurate with the age of the children and the attendant circumstances.
- 8 No requirement supervisor, especially where play of some 90 children is being monitored, have each child under constant and unremitting scrutiny.
- Stump in question did not constitute a hazardous or dangerous condition.
- <sup>10</sup> The stump in question was found to be an ordinary stump, squared off on top with no jagged or knife-like protrusions.

11 **NORMAN v.** 

TURKEY RUN COMMUNITY SCHOOL CORP. 411 N.E.2d 614 (1980) Supreme Court of Indiana

- P, 7, 2d grade, collided with 6 yr old, morning recess, bumped heads;
   10 teachers, 7-8 supervising, more than required, 188 children,
- <sup>13</sup> 2 teachers close, looked up, unable to warn. Trial: instantaneous, no opportunity to warn, D exercised reasonable care.
- <sup>14</sup> Persons entrusted with children, whose characteristics make it likely that they may do somewhat unreasonable things,
- <sup>15</sup> No teacher can observe every student at every instant on a playground. To look at one is to look away from another.
- <sup>16</sup> Even if the evidence showed that one or both teachers were looking in another direction, it would not give rise to an inference of negligence
- <sup>17</sup> School personnel here clearly exercised ordinary and reasonable care for the safety of the children under their authority.
- <sup>18</sup> unreasonable burden on supervision if negligent not observing a particular student at the precise moment a collision was imminent.
- <sup>19</sup> A duty to warn contemplates an opportunity to know of the danger and to have time to communicate it.
- <sup>20</sup> Perfect attention to this incident might not have prevented it. There were also 186 other students needing attention at the same time.

21 🔲	STANLEY v. BOARD OF ED.
	CITY OF CHICAGO
	293 N.E.2d 417 (III.App. 1973)

- <sup>22</sup> P, \$40K award, plygrd, struck on head by baseball bat, D's summer rec program,
- <sup>23</sup> fastpitch baseball, rubber ball, softball bats supplied by D.
- <sup>24</sup> D's leader, 17, responsible younger children not playing too close to older boys; handing out & setting up equipment at time.
- <sup>25</sup> 4 games being played, bat flew, some moved; 4 boys, 16, started game, P asked to move, moved & returned 25-30' from game; bat untaped hit bldg & P.
- <sup>26</sup> P: negligent supplying defective bat, failing to warn P, failing to supervise.
- <sup>27</sup> Whether no duty to warn P because circumstances known, appreciated & obvious.
- <sup>28</sup> P, 8, brother 12; known characteristics of children whether or not sufficient care for the safety of a child has been exercised.
- <sup>29</sup> Children cannot and do not ordinarily exercise the same degree of prudence and care for their own safety as adults
- <sup>30</sup> Imposes obligation of exercising more vigilance and caution than might be sufficient with respect to an adult

- <sup>31</sup> Conduct which might reach the standard of ordinary care with respect to an adult might, for child, amount to negligence or even gross negligence.
- known characteristic of many 8-year-old boys to ignore the directions of 16-year-old boys whom they see to be but older members of the same class.
- <sup>33</sup> Here, conceded that it was Iversen's duty to make sure that the smaller children were not playing close to where the teenagers were playing.
- <sup>34</sup> Evidence Iversen, instead of supervising, was playing basketball.
- <sup>35</sup> Kowalczak himself testified that in his opinion perhaps 50 feet would be a safe distance between teenagers playing fast-pitching and eightyear-olds.
- <sup>36</sup> FAGAN v. SUMMERS
   498 P.2d 1227 (1972)
   Supreme Court of Wyoming
- <sup>37</sup> P, 7, noon recess, lost sight in eye, struck by rock, student threw rock, hit larger rock, bounced up & struck P.
- <sup>38</sup> No requirement constant and unremitting scrutiny all precise spots where every phase of play activities is being pursued;
- <sup>39</sup> A teacher cannot anticipate the varied and unexpected acts which

occur daily in any about the school premises.

- <sup>40</sup> Where the time between an act of a student and injury to a fellow student is so short that the teacher has no opportunity to prevent injury,
- <sup>41</sup> it cannot be said that negligence of the teacher is a proximate cause of the injury.
- <sup>42</sup> We have found no case, however, which holds rocks on the ground to be a dangerous and defective condition. Left on the ground, a rock will hurt no one.
- <sup>43</sup> Injury was clearly caused by the intervening act of a third person-the boy who picked up and threw the rock.
- <sup>44</sup> Some such missiles could doubtless be found upon any school grounds; even if assume defective, must est. proximate cause was the defect.
- <sup>45</sup> Proximate cause of P's injury was the act of his fellow student in throwing a rock, not D's failure to maintain the playground in a safe condition.
- <sup>46</sup> Failure to Supervise School Playground Merry-Go-Round

Rollins v. Concordia Parish School Board La.App. 1985

47	P, 9 yrs old, 4th grade, fractured leg
	fell off merry-go-round during P.E. class 40 students in class,
48	girls on playground equipment
	boys playing basketball 15 ft away
49	substitute teacher, Green, supervising class
	walking between 2 groups
_	
50	
	merrry-go-round spinning "too fast" recognizing danger told girls to slow down & get off
	recognizing danger told gins to slow down & get on
51	heard 2 boys arguing over basketball, left girls
	Green turned away from rapidly spinning merry-go-round
52	walked 20 ft away,
	heard girls yell Lisa hurt
53	
	in not properly supervising playground activities
	D Eversion reasonable supervision
54	Exercise reasonable supervision commensurate with age of children
	and attendant circumstances
	not insurer of safety

55 🔲

	Green abandoned what she had determined to be a perilous situation
	to investigate an argument over a basketball
	- · ·
56	2 regular classes combined to allow one teacher a free period
	combined to allow one teacher a nee period
	another teacher available,
	could have been present to help supervise class
57 🔲	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	between admonition to girls & injury
58 🔲	didn't know if boys were actually fighting or just hollering
	walking to basketball court, never reached boys
59 🔲	Instead of making sure children heeded
	her warnings
60 🔲	Green abandoned observed perilous situation
	to deal with another situation not urgent or perilous
61 🔲	Rapid speed of merry-go-round
	& Green ordering girls to get off
	without making sure children stopped & got off
	reasonably foreseeable injury would occur
62 🔲	Trial court could reasonably find

inadequate supervision especially in light of fact another teacher was available, but not used, to supervise class

63 Playground Supervision in Fall from Monkey Bars

Collins v. Bossier Parish School Board La.App. 1985

<sup>64</sup> P injured in fall during kindergarten recess

fall caused by another boy wrapping legs around P

<sup>65</sup> Playground half size of football field duty teacher assigned to supervise approx. 100 children during recess

<sup>66</sup> At beginning of school year
 & during Gym classes
 children told how to use playground equipment

67 instructed only one child at at time on horizontal bars

when violation observed, teacher reprimands child immediately

<sup>68</sup> At time of injury, teacher preventing several children from throwing dirt at one another

69	teacher did not see Eugene fall if observed, would have instantly reprimanded child wrapping legs around P
70 🗖	No evidence more teachers on duty would have prevented incident
71	constant supervision of all students is not required
	virtually impossible, absent "ball and chain"
72 🗖	Disabled Athlete Fatally Injured Enroute to Gym
	Foster
	V.
	Houston General
	Insurance Co.
	La.App. 1982
73 🗖	Foster, 17, school for mentally retarded
	IQ 52; mental age 7 yrs. 4 mos.
74	Member of Special Olympics basketball team at school
75 🗖	practice sessions during regular P.E. classes

	indoor gym in use by Jr. high
	practice on outdoor dirt court
76 🔲	Gym teacher arranged for use of municipal indoor gym
	teachers Grant & Gray planned to take team during lunch
77 🔲	3 blocks to gym, short distance decided to walk
	rather than seek transportation from school board
78 🔲	Neither teacher had taken team to gym
	had only used park gym once before for picnic
79 🔲	Gray gathered team, 10 or 11 boys informed Grant teaching math class, ready to go to gym
80 🔲	Grant instructed them to wait until finished teaching class
81	Gray used time to instruct youngsters about safety precautions particularly directed at street crossing
82 🔲	Grant still not finished; team became increasingly "fidgety"

83 🔲 Gray advised Grant take team to Park alone

Grant agreed, would follow

<sup>84</sup> Gray departed on planned route, 5 or 6 boys ran ahead

disregarded admonitions of Gray & crossed street to park

85 Gray returned to other 5, including Foster

lined up at street waiting to cross traffic backed up

<sup>86</sup> Foster ran between 2 stopped cars saw car coming, stopped & slipped

> run over by oncoming car died 3 days later

<sup>87</sup> Trial: Grant negligent supervision, instruction, choice of route, failure to provide transportation

entered judgment for plaintiff

- 88 Issue: nature of duty owed by teachers to student for trip to park
- <sup>89</sup> Foster risk factors
   poor auditory ability,
   short attention span,
   difficulty in following instructions

	limited experience in coping with heavy traffic
90 🔲	General duty: conduct classes so as not to expose students to unreasonable risk of injury
91	duty more onerous when students mentally retarded
92 🔲	No duty of continuous supervision on campus
	duty of closer supervision than campus when walking trip across street with heavy traffic
93 🔲	Duty to have adequate number of supervisory personnel accompany team
	assure youths kept under control & protected from hazards traveling to & from park
94	Duty of close supervision over students at all times
95 🔲	particularly when in vicinity of moving vehicles Duty to choose safest walking route from school to park
	route along which students exposed to fewest traffic hazards
96 🔲	Issue: whether teachers should have foreseen likelihood
	adolescent with mental age of 7 or 8 might act impulsively

as Foster	did	under	circumstances
-----------	-----	-------	---------------

Foster would act impulsively under circumstances

98 Youths understanding excited about excursion off campus to practice basketball

extended wait heightened nervous tension

99 Situation difficult to control
 youths physical energy matched chronological age

but, self-control & judgment of much younger children

- <sup>100</sup> Foster's limited attention span, perception & understanding limited effect of oral instructions prior to trip
- <sup>101</sup> Given foreseeable risk of injury, teachers breached duty

<sup>102</sup> group not accompanied by sufficient number of supervisory personnel

would have prevented break up of team running across street

<sup>103</sup> experience teaches presence adequate adult supervision

tends to curb proclivity of immature youngsters to act impulsively

	protect them against their own folly
104 🔲	Written Standard Not Followed in Golf Mishap
	Brahatcek v. Millard School District Neb. 1979
	David, 9th grade, 14 yrs died after being struck in head by golf club during P.E. class
106 🔲	2 groups hitting "wiffle balls" to opposite walls of gym
107 🔲	student teacher helping another student away from David
	teacher supervising girls, would have supervised entire class
	if saw Haley devoting attention to one student
109 🔲	Haley told not to spend too much time with one student
	Pane, principal, written instructions for mandatory golf class specifically set forth manner of instruction
	develop golf skills with co-equal consideration of safe instruction
	Written rules of instruction described physical arrangement for golf activity

	teachers followed quite different arrangement
112 🔲	Payne testified golf instruction to be provided according to school's written rules
	Liability for instructor's lack of supervision
113 🔲	lack of supervision must be proximate cause of injury
	Lack of supervision was proximate cause of death
114 🔲	9th grader had never swung golf club
	conducted indoors in close quarters
	physical arrangement contrary to rules
115 🔲	Question whether adequate instruction
	regarding use of golf club
	prior to commencement of class
	in which injury occurred
116 🔲	Teaching procedure outlines
	by regular instructor
	not followed by student teacher
	student teacher not properly informed of procedure
117 🔲	Trial court could reasonably find
	ineffective observation & attention by student teacher

and, ordinary care or supervision would have prevented incident

118 🔲	Whether action of other student efficient intervening cause of injury
	whether reasonably foreseeable consequence of negligent supervision
119 🔲	no question of foreseeability, proper supervision would have prevented death
120 🔲	Whether David guilty of contributory negligence
121 🔲	fail to exercise ordinary care & prudence to avoid obvious danger capable of understanding and discretion
122	Whether minor, 14 yrs sufficient knowledge, discretion, & appreciation of danger question of fact for jury
123 🔲	whether defense of contributory negligence
	Trial court could reasonably find David not guilty of contributory negligence
124 🔲	City Park Fatality Caused by Light Pole
	Glorioso v. Young Men's Christian Association of Jackson Miss. 1989

125 🔲	Seth, 9, fell & crushed by pole in city park (18.5 ', 1490 lbs)
126 🔲	24 yr old YMCA counsellor took 19 children to park to play baseball after game began playing with pole near van, on flat ground, near slope
127 🔲	Grindstaff, Y employee, promised ice cream cone to child who could stay on pole the longest after 10 mins, Y idea to shake pole & move it
128 🔲	Adult could not move pole alone, asked help of children leaning against van with feet against pole forced pole to move from indentation
129	Children jumped from pole to high side Seth ran down hill, tried to leap over pole caught foot, fell, and was crushed
130 🔲	P alleged Y employee should have known conduct created unreasonably dangerous condition
131	Contributory Negligence on part of Minors minor between 7 and 14 yrs presumed not to have sufficient discretion to be guilty of contributory negligence
132 🔲	presumption rebuttable by D showing exceptional capacity

133 🔲	Invitee on municipal property
	exercise ordinary & reasonable care to ensure property safe
	duty to warn of known dangerous conditions
134 🔲	Grindstaff's act of dislodging pole
	was independent, intervening cause
135 🔲	Failure to Supervise Scout on Wilderness Hike
	McGarr v. Boy Scouts of America
	Md.App. 1988
136 🔲	P, 11, injured in fall from precipice into partially frozen stream
137 🔲	
	simply bring warm clothes & certain camping gear
138 🔲	Supervisor told P and other scout to go get firewood, no instruction
139	P heard water was curious, slid down slope holding onto trees
	15 - 40 ft cliff not visible, tree broke fell over cliff
140	Di superviser negligent foilure te femilierize celf with erec 9 give preper
140	P: supervisor negligent failure to familiarize self with area & give proper supervision
	trial court dismissed claim based on contributory negligence &
	assumption of risk
141 🔲	Standard of child of like age, intelligence & experience

	capacity of particular child to appreciate risk & form reasonable judgment
142 🔲	Inexperienced scouts, first overnight camping trip
	no special training or instruction in hiking did not see sufficient danger to deter sliding down hill
143 🔲	Scout leader duty to take reasonable precautions for P's safety
	in addition to general duty for supervision of child
144 🔲	Scout Handbook specified "rigorous" health & safety program standards
145 🔲	Continued vigilance is necessary do not expose them to unnecessary risks
	adequate supervision through verbal & visual communication is essential
146	Leader acknowledged preparation necessary before camping in mountains
147 🔲	did not survey area, did not have topo map
148	did not consult anyone about immediate area Leader thought sketch map from ranger was sufficient

"did not intend to take any extended tours of back country"
<ul> <li>Leader knew about precipice</li> <li>"unless looking for it could not spot" cliff as it really existed</li> </ul>
150 Reasonable jury could find Leader negligent
<sup>151</sup> upon returning from hike instructed boys to gather firewood
without any direction where to go, or not to go without any warnings, without any supervision
152