

## Lecture 6

Casella and Berger

Sections 7.2.3, 7.2.4, 7.3.1

**Example 6.1 (MLE in linear regression models):** Suppose that random variables  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  satisfy

$$Y_i = \boldsymbol{\beta}' \mathbf{X}_i + \epsilon_i, i = 1, \dots, n$$

where  $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n$  are  $p$ -dimensional covariates, and  $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n$  are i.i.d.  $\sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ ,  $\sigma^2$  is unknown. Find the MLE of  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  and  $\sigma^2$ , and the distribution of  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ .

**Example 6.2:** Suppose that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d. following the distribution

$$P(X_i \leq x | \alpha, \beta) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < 0 \\ (x/\beta)^\alpha & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \beta \\ 1 & \text{if } x > \beta \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are positive.

- (a) Find a two-dimensional sufficient statistic for  $(\alpha, \beta)$ .
- (b) Find the MLEs of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

## Bayes Estimators

Fundamental difference between the Bayesian approach and the frequentist approach: parameters  $\theta$  are also random and follow a prior distribution  $\pi(\theta)$  in the Bayesian approach.

Sampling distribution:  $f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$

Prior distribution:  $\pi(\theta)$

Posterior distribution (conditional distribution of  $\theta$  given the sample  $\mathbf{x}$ ):

$$\pi(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)\pi(\theta)/m(\mathbf{x}),$$

where  $m(\mathbf{x})$  is the marginal distribution of  $\mathbf{X}$ , that is,

$$m(\mathbf{x}) = \int f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)\pi(\theta)d\theta.$$

The posterior distribution is used to make statements about  $\theta$ , which is still considered a random quantity. The mean of posterior distribution can be used as a point estimate of  $\theta$ .

**Example 6.3 (Binomial Bayes estimation):** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d. Bernoulli( $p$ ). We assume the prior distribution on  $p$  is beta( $\alpha, \beta$ ). Find a Bayes estimator of  $p$ .

**Definition 6.1:** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the class of pdfs or pmfs  $f(x|\theta)$  (indexed by  $\theta$ ). A class  $\Pi$  of prior distributions is a *conjugate family* for  $\mathcal{F}$  if the posterior distribution is in the class  $\Pi$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , all priors in  $\Pi$ , and all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .

**Example 6.4 (Normal Bayes estimators):** Let  $X \sim N(\theta, \sigma^2)$ , and suppose that the prior distribution on  $\theta$  is  $N(\mu, \tau^2)$ . Here we assume that  $\sigma^2$ ,  $\mu$ , and  $\tau^2$  are all known. Find a Bayes estimator of  $\theta$ .

## Expectation-Maximization (EM) Algorithm

The idea of EM-algorithm is to replace one difficult likelihood maximization with a sequence of easier maximizations whose limit is the answer to the original problem. It is particularly suited to “missing data” problems.

Complete data:  $(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X}) \sim f(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}|\theta)$

Observed data or incomplete data:  $\mathbf{Y} \sim f(\mathbf{y}|\theta)$

Objective: estimate  $\theta$  based on the observable data

Approaches:

a). Direct maximization of  $L(\theta|\mathbf{y}) = f(\mathbf{y}|\theta)$

b) EM-algorithm: maximize  $E[\log L(\theta|\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})|\hat{\theta}^{(k)}, \mathbf{y}]$  based on the current estimate of  $\theta$ , and obtain  $\hat{\theta}^{(k+1)}$ . We can show that  $L(\hat{\theta}^{(k+1)}|\mathbf{y}) \geq L(\hat{\theta}^{(k)}|\mathbf{y})$ .

**Example 6.5 (ABO blood type):** In a population of  $n$  individuals, the number of individuals with blood types A, B, AB, and O are  $n_A, n_B, n_{AB}$ , and  $n_O$ , respectively. Assume random mating in this population, i.e.,  $p_{AB} = p_A p_B, p_{AO} = p_A p_O, \dots, p_{OO} = p_O p_O$ . Estimate the frequencies of alleles A, B, and O in this population.

**Example 6.6 (Multiple Poisson rates):** We observe  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  and  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ , all mutually independent, where  $Y_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\beta\tau_i)$  and  $X_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\tau_i)$ . This would model, for instance, the incidence of a disease,  $Y_i$ , where the underlying rate is a function of an overall effect  $\beta$  and an additional factor  $\tau_i$ . We do not see  $\tau_i$  but get information on it through  $X_i$ . Suppose that the value of  $x_1$  was missing, describe the EM-algorithm for estimating  $\beta$  and  $\tau_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ .

## Methods of Evaluating Estimators

**Definition 6.2 (Mean Squared Error)** The *mean squared error* (MSE) of an estimator  $W$  of a parameter  $\theta$  is the function of  $\theta$  defined by  $E_{\theta}(W - \theta)^2$ .

**Definition 6.3** The *bias* of a point estimator  $W$  of a parameter  $\theta$  is the difference between the expected value of  $W$  and  $\theta$ ; that is,  $\text{Bias}_{\theta}W = E_{\theta}W - \theta$ . An estimator is called unbiased if  $E_{\theta}W = \theta$  for all  $\theta$ .

**Example 6.7 (Normal MSE)** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be iid  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .

- (1). Calculate the MSEs for  $\bar{X}$  and  $S^2$  as estimators of  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$ .
- (2). Calculate the MSE of the MLE of  $\sigma^2$ .